

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CORONAVIRUS REPORTER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

APPLE INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [21-cv-05567-EMC](#)

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT
APPLE INC.'S MOTION FOR AN
ORDER SUMMARILY DENYING
PLAINTIFF JEFFREY D. ISAACS'
MOTIONS FOR SANCTIONS**

Docket Nos. 96-98

Having considered Defendant Apple Inc.'s ("Apple") Administrative Motion For An Order Summarily Denying Plaintiff Jeffrey D. Isaacs' Motions For Sanctions Or, In the Alternative, Setting a Deadline For a Single Response, as well as the supporting documents thereto and all other matters properly before the Court, being fully advised on the proceedings, and for good cause appearing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Apple's Motion is **GRANTED**. The Motions for Sanctions at Docket Nos. 96 and 97 are **DENIED** as untimely under Local Rule 7-8(d).

This order disposes of Docket Nos. 96, 97 and 98.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 26, 2022



EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge